WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Unite	ed States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Ма	rio Pineda-Lara	Case Number: 15-8382MJ-PHX-JZB
Defendant	was present and was represented be the defendant is a flight risk and	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held. by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of dorder the detention of the defendant pending
	FINDINGS	S OF FACT
I find by a p	permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of th illegally. The defendant has no significant of Arizona. The defendant has no resources make a bond reasonably calculate. The defendant has a prior criminal. The defendant lives/works in Mexicon The defendant is an amnesty applitate.	of the United States or lawfully admitted for the charged offense, was in the United States contacts in the United States or in the District of in the United States from which he/she might do assure his/her future appearance. I history. co. icant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 28th day of August, 2015.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge